

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,183	07/08/2003	Erin Jessica Lindsay	033528-001	7109	
7:	590 06/29/2006	•	EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			FOSTER, MARL	FOSTER, MARLEE CHRISTINE	
P.O. Box 1404			ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, V	A 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3731		

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			۷				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/614,183	LINDSAY, ERIN JESSICA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marlee C. Foster	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. ceply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> .						
<i>,</i> —	 ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
· _	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	illa/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	1(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		440(-) (1) (6)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been	oplication No					
application from the International Bu	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	rocoived					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a nacor the certified copies not	cociveu.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9403) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>15 October 2003</u>. 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 0026, line 4; the application should state "fork arms" instead of "form arms".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: "form arm" should be "fork arm". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 recites "said at least one manipulator fork comprises two manipulator forks". This language implies that multiple manipulator forks extend from a single manipulator fork. Based on the specification and as shown in Figures 1 and 7, two separate manipulator forks are disclosed, each having a fork swivel control tube, fork arm, and a contour fork surface. The Examiner suggests changing this language to read "at least two manipulator forks".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3731

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bayer (US 2004/0204725). Bayer discloses, in the figures, a surgical apparatus with an endoscopic barrel (12) with a plurality of lumens for housing ligating and cauterizing instruments within the apparatus (Figure 10), a handle (136 and 138) disposed at the proximal end of the barrel, and a conical tip (100) at the distal end of the barrel. A manipulator fork is extendable from the cone portion of the instrument (Figure 8). Regarding claims 2 and 4, the cone potion of the apparatus contains a recess (120) for receiving the manipulator fork and additional surgical instruments (Figure 3). Bayer discloses handles (134a and 134b) that control axial and rotational movement of the manipulator fork. A ball-like actuator within a track (31b) controls the instruments used for ligation and cutting.

Regarding claim 11, Bayer shows three lumens in the apparatus, and one manipulator fork comprising two manipulators (Figure 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3731

8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayer (US 2004/0204725) in view of Haber et al. (US 5,282,806). Bayer discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose the manipulator fork arm and a distal fork controlled by a swivel control ring disposed on the handle. Haber et al. disclose, in Figure 1, a manipulator fork comprising a distal fork and a fork arm. Haber et al. teach a swivel control ring (78) disposed on the handle that controls the swiveling of said manipulator fork. A swivel control tube (8) is disposed on the fork arm to cause the manipulator rotate when the swivel control ring is engaged (col.5, lines 52-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Haber et al., to include a swivel control ring and a swivel control tube to rotate the manipulator fork in the device of Bayer. A swivel control ring assembly would increase the ease of controlling the manipulator forks within a plurality of surgical instruments.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lindsay (US 6,660,016), Koros et al. (US 5,352,235), and

Art Unit: 3731

Privitera et al. (US 5,569,291) teach similar endoscopic instruments with cutting tips disposed on the distal end, control mechanisms on a slidable track or wheel, and handles for actuating movement of the cutting tips.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlee C. Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-5072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MCA MCF

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER